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## Research Questions

- Can prior performance predict 6th grade retention?
- What are the short-term academic consequences of 6th grade retention?
- Can prior performance predict 9th grade on-track status?



## CPS Retention Policy Timeline

- 1996: Introduction of promotion gates (Vallas)
$>$ High-stakes testing introduced in grades 3,6 , and 8
> Mandated retention for students failing to achieve benchmark scores on spring district-wide test administration
> Based on lowa Test of Basic Skills stanine scores (grade level equivalents)
- 2000-02: Revisions to policy (Duncan)
> Discretionary passage based on summer school attendance and/or classroom grades introduced for some students
> Stanine-based cutoff scores replaced by nationally norm-referenced percentile rankings (NPR)


## CPS Retention Policy

- 2005: Comprehensive policy rewrite (Duncan)
> Provisional passage for students scoring between 25-34th percentile (AL2) conditioned on summer school attendance (no retesting required)
$>$ Students in AL3 (below 25th NPR) required to pass the summer retest, in addition to mandated summer school attendance
$>$ One retention per grade cycle rule introduced; Attendance requirements tightened
- With minor technical revisions, and incorporation of district writing assessment, the 2005 policy remains in effect today


# CPS Retention Policy: Implications for Analysis 

- Students identified as eligible for special educational services (IEPs) and limited English proficiency (LEP) excluded from all analyses
- Pre-2005 test scores excluded from testing-based models to avoid ITBS/ISAT score compatibility concerns
- Insufficient data available to incorporate non-academic causes of retention into analysis \& models
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## Literature Review: What Do We Know

- Predictors of Retention
> Limited research suggests possible predictors of retention: gender, race/ethnicity, school mobility, welfare receipt, early school performance
- Predictors of On-track Status
$>$ Developed by the Consortium for Chicago School Research
$>$ Freshman-year GPA, number of semester course failures, and Freshman-year absences found to predict on-track status
$>$ Limited research on pre-9th grade predictors of on-track status



## Retention: What do we know?

- What happens to students when they are retained in 6th grade?
$>$ Scores increase in gate years
> Scores increase in post-gate years
> Benefits in school systems that don't utilize high-stakes testing
$\checkmark$ Positive effects for 3rd grade students, negligible for 6th grade students
> Gains from retention fade over time
> Negative consequences for high school graduation
$\checkmark$ Counterbalanced by positive effects for non-retained students



## Predictors of 6th grade retention

Can prior performance predict 6th grade retention?
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## The Sample

Cohort 506: In 3rd grade for the first time in 2005-06

## The Analysis

Probit Regression: Regressing math and reading scores in 3rd grade and a variety of controls on the probability of being retained in 6th grade


## Summary Statistics Cohort 506 ( $n=13,598$ )
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## Summary Statistics: Cohort 506

3rd grade students in 2005-06

- Compared to non-retained students, those who will be retained in 6th grade:
$>$ Have lower 3rd grade ISAT math and reading scores
$>$ More likely to be male
$>$ More likely to be on free or reduced lunch
> More likely to have attended summer school and/or be retained in 3rd grade
- Black students are over-represented in the sample of retained students, as compared to the total sample



# The higher a student's test scores in 3rd grade, the less likely s/he will be retained in the 6th grade 
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## Probit Regression

- Probability of being retained in 6th grade based on:
$>$ 3rd grade math and reading scores
$>$ Attending summer school in 3rd grade
$>$ Being retained in 3rd grade
> Controls: Gender, Race, Free/Reduced Lunch status

The HarRIS SCHOOL

## Factors that predict 6th grade retention

- Factors that decrease the probability of being retained in 6th
> Higher math and reading scores in 3rd grade
> Female and/or Hispanic students
> Retained in 3rd grade
- Factors that increase the probability of being retained in 6 th
> Free or Reduced lunch status
- Factors that do not affect the probability of 6th grade retention
> All races other than Hispanic
> Attending summer school in 3rd grade



## The higher a student's 3rd grade math and reading scores, the lower the likelihood of retention in 6th grade <br> Reading <br> Math
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# Results for 5th grade students are similar to that of 3rd grade students 

- Cohorts 304, 405, 506 ( $n=45,813$ )

Reading


Math


# Consequences of 6th grade retention 

What are the short-term academic consequences of 6th grade retention?

## The Sample

This study follows one cohort of students: those who were in 3rd grade in the 2004/2005 school year

## The Analysis

The analysis will focus on two groups of students:

1) Those who were retained in 6th grade
2) Those were were not

$\xrightarrow[\text { public policy }]{\substack{\text { the university of chicago }}}$

## Sample Statistics



## 14,143 Students
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## Terminology

- Treatment Group - Students retained in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Control Group - Students not retained in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Treatment Effect - Effect of being retained on ISAT test scores
- Gate Year - Year a student's ISAT score is used to make a retention decision ( $3^{\text {rd }}, 6^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades)
- NPR - National Percentile Rankings



## Average reading scores of the treatment group are consistently lower than those of the control group

Reading NPR - Treatment vs. Control
Achievement Prior to the Gate Year



The Harris School
PUBLIC POLICY $\quad$ THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

## Average math scores of the treatment group are consistently lower than those of the control group
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## Methodology

- Significant differences between treatment and control groups prior to treatment
- Propensity Score: Probability of being retained
- Matching students on similar characteristics
- Compare those who were treated to those who were not

"Same Age" vs. "Same Grade"
$6^{\text {th }}$ Grade


Retained
Not Retained
$6^{\text {th }}$ Grade
Same Age Grade

## Same Grade

$7^{\text {th }}$ Grade
$7^{\text {th }}$ Grade
$8^{\text {th }}$ Grade
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## Retention Boosts Scores

Estimation of the Effect of Retention on Reading Scores


## Retention Boosts Scores

Estimation of the Effect of Retention - Math


## Retention reduces disparities in NPR

Gap in Reading Scores - Retained vs. Promoted


## Retention reduces disparities in NPR

Gap in Math Scores - Retained vs. Promoted
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# Predictors of 9th grade on-track status 

Does prior performance predict 9th grade on-track status?

The HarRIS SCHOOL<br>PUBLIC POLICY $\quad$ THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

## The Sample

- 25,929 students total
- Cohort 203: $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade in 2002-03 ( $n=14,861$ )
- Cohort 304: $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade in 2003-04 ( $n=11,068$ )

The Analysis
Probit Regressions: Regressing the probability of being on-track in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade on a students' ISAT math and reading scores in $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades


## Demographics

- Gender:
$>55.1 \%$ Female ( $n=14,294$ )
- SES
$>86 \%$ of students on Free/Reduced Lunch



## Retention Levels

- Retention: $11.4 \%(2,967)$ retained at least once between $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Retention in promotion gate years:
$>6.4 \%$ retained in 3 rd grade
$>1.7 \%$ retained in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade
$>0.3 \%$ retained in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade


## Definitions

## On-time

| Cohort | 6th Grade | 8th Grade <br> (On-Time) | 9th Grade <br> (On-Time) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 203 | $2005-06$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ |
| 304 | $2006-07$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ |

## On-track

- Indicator for students in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Defined by CCSR as "students who had at least 10 semester credits (5 full-year course credits) and no more than 1 semester $F$ in a core course by the end of their first year in high school."
- Shown to help predict on-time graduation rates



## $59 \%$ of Students in Sample are On-track ( $\mathrm{n}=15,323$ )

Total Sample ( $\mathrm{n}=25,929$ )

Not on-time in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
$8 \%(n=2,066)$

On-time in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
92\% ( $\mathrm{n}=23,863$ )

Not on-track in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
$39 \%(n=9,409)$

On-track in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade $61 \%(n=14,454)$

## On-time \& On-track Status by Gender



## On-time \& On-track Status by Race

Full Sample

## On-time Students
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| PUBLIC POLICY | THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO |
| :--- | :--- |

## On-time \& On-track Status by Lunch
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| :--- | :--- |

## Controls incorporated, by model

|  | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade ISAT on on-track | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ISAT on on-track |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free/Reduced Lunch | X | X |
| Gender | X | X |
| Race <br> (white as control) | X | X |
| Prior academic performance | none | $6^{\text {th }}$ ISAT only |
| Retention | $6^{\text {th }}$ \& prior years | $8^{\text {th }}$ and prior years (incl. $6^{\text {th }}$ ) |
| Summer School | $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}, 6^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ |
| Variables of Interest | $6^{\text {th }}$ ISAT performance on-track status | $8^{\text {th }}$ ISAT performance on track status |

## Factors that positively affect the likelihood of being on-track in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade

- Gender: female
- Race (white used as control): Asian
- $8^{\text {th }}$ ISAT:
$>$ Math \& reading scores significant over all models
- $6^{\text {th }}$ ISAT:
> Math score retains significance over all models
$>$ Reading scores lose significance when $8^{\text {th }}$ grade reading scores are incorporated in the model


# Factors that negatively affect the likelihood of being on-track in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade 

- Race (white used as control): Black, Hispanic
- Eligibility for free/reduced lunch
- Retention: significant at $6^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, all non-gate years
- Summer school: promotion gate years only
- Cohort: students in cohort 304 less likely to have finished $9^{\text {th }}$ grade on track than students in cohort 203



## Factors that lack significance in predicting 9th grade on-track status

- Race: Native American
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Retention: insignificant across all models
- $6^{\text {th }}$ ISAT Reading:
$>$ Significant in models which excluded $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ISAT scores
$>$ Lost significance in models where $8^{\text {th }}$ ISAT scores were included
$>6^{\text {th }}$ grade math scores retained their significance in all models

$6^{\text {th }}$ Grade ISAT Reading \& Math Scores v. Predicted On-Track Status



NB: 1 obs in NPR ranges 56-60
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## 8th Grade ISAT Reading \& Math Scores v. Predicted On-Track Status
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| PUBLIC POLICY | THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO |
| :--- | :--- |

## Summary of Findings

- Math and reading ISAT scores in 3rd and 5th grade are highly predictive of 6th grade retention
- 6th grade retention increases student math and reading ISAT scores in the two years following retention
- 6th and 8th grade ISAT scores are highly predictive of 9th grade on-track status
- Retention in 6th grade negatively impacts 9th grade ontrack status; 3rd grade retention has no significant impact



## Possible directions for future research

- Incorporate non-academic causes of retention into models (attendance, student conduct/discipline, student mobility)
- Examine which subgroups of students benefit most from retention and/or summer school
- Explore causes \& consequences of retention across the middle grades ( $\left.6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}\right)$
- Examine the ability to predict 8th grade academic outcomes from 6th grade performance



## Questions?
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